

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Juan Cockburn, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2572.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2002).

*Scope of Investigation:* Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on March 31, 2003 *Ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation, of certain power amplifier chips, broadband tuner chips, transceiver chips or products containing same, by reason of infringement of claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,445,039 or claim 2 of U.S. Patent No. 5,682,379, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—Broadcom Corporation, 16215 Alton Parkway, Irvine, California 92618.

(b) The respondent is the following company alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served: Microtune, Inc., 2201 Tenth Street, Plano, Texas 75074.

(c) Juan Cockburn, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Sidney Harris is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received no later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of

time for submitting responses to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to that respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against that respondent.

By order of the Commission.  
Issued: March 31, 2003.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 03-8200 Filed 4-3-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—eManufacturing Security Framework (Formerly Semiconductor Equipment and Materials International)

Notice is hereby given that, on March 5, 2003, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Semiconductor Equipment and Materials International has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its name and membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Semiconductor Equipment and Materials International, San Jose, CA, has been dropped as a party to this venture and Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. (AMD), one of the partners, has assumed the principal investigation and administrative role in the research and development project. In addition, the venture has been renamed and will henceforth be called the eManufacturing Security Framework.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research

project remains open, and eManufacturing Security Framework (formerly Semiconductor Equipment and Materials International (SEMI)) intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On January 8, 2002, eManufacturing Security Framework (formerly Semiconductor Equipment and Materials International (SEMI)) filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 8, 2002 (67 FR 10762).

The last notification was filed with the Department on July 3, 2002. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on August 13, 2002 (67 FR 52746).

**Constance K. Robinson,**

*Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 03-8266 Filed 4-3-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Global Climate and Energy Project

Notice is hereby given that, on March 12, 2003, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Global Climate and Energy Project has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are Leland Stanford Junior University, Stanford, CA; Exxon Mobil Corporation, Irving, TX; General Electric Company, Fairfield, CT; and Schlumberger Technology Corporation, Sugarland, TX. The nature and objectives of the venture are to conduct long-term pioneering research to identify options for commercially viable, technological systems for energy supply and use with substantially reduced net greenhouse emissions; to identify presently existing barriers to commercializing those options (barriers such as cost, performance, safety, regulation, and consumer acceptance); to identify